This is a petition for right of prompt response.

Dear friends,

My name is Dustin Bryce and I spoke with the Director of the omsbudsman Laurie Lenkel Yesterday on Thursday, September 1, 2022 and today Friday September 2, 2022. Laurie requested the document which was previously sent in which we are inquiring about. (our apologies for any typos or mis spellings within the document. We were rushed to enter into the record on time and was having issues with the online portal while uploading the document, in this case it was late due to a technical error)

Please help the FDA correct the errors by revoking the authorization for all pfizer BioNtech (and all mRNA) investigational/experimental [non] vaccines for the widespread use in healthy humans, which requires all authorizations to be immediately revoked in order for the USA to be in compliance with their international obligations, human rights and customary international law and other peremptory norms.

When we spoke it was in regards to a claim which was given by email on June 28, 2022 to the office of CBER VRBPAC / HHS / FDA and the FDA's non response to such serious topics furthermore we are writing to revoke the August 31st, 2022 FDA approval of the Moderna and Phizer BioNtch boosters under the "Future Framework" that we protested in the VBERPAC meeting that we spoke at on June 27, 2022 (with slides that are attached also in the email) and our followup letter in which FDA never responded to.

We are very concerned because the August 31st, 2022 meeting states that the approval was based on "the totality of evidence" which cannot possibly be true because our presentation showed FDA's own guidance which FDA is not in compliance with and we also showed that FDA themselves states that this type of product poses an unreasonable risk when used in observational studies of more than a few people. Which also correlates to violation of International Law Nuremberg Code and Declaration of Helsinki. There are issues too numerous to mention in this small email or letter, however there is enough to show that the approval is inappropriate and actually constitutes a wrongful act under international law which obligates the USA to immediately cease the wrongful act by revoking (or at least staying indefinitely) the wrongful act.

Siracusa Principles 69(b)
No state, including those that are not parties to the Covenant, may suspend or violate, even in times of public emergency:

(a) Right to life

(b) freedom from torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment and from medical or scientific experimentation;

A friendly reminder that FDA's own data shows after 30 days of taking Pfizer BioNtech Gene therapy, the #7 effect is "DEATH".

Men over 60 years old are most at risk of death, but it is illegally omitted from the FDA fact sheet.

I represent an International Organization and we are injured by FDA's non response and also request a prompt response to either dispute the facts point by point, claimed by petitioner on July 27, 2022 or agree that substantial public interest considerations preclude continued acceptance of the "future framework" composition changes recently authorized August 31, 2022, and issue an indefinite stay of the administrative action of approval of the booster

The "*virtual Press Conference link"* below is the exact wording that is needed to finish my "Citizens Petition" and I cannot find it within the FDA archives/website etc… Can you please direct me to this information so can finish the petition, request for hearing and a stay correctly. I am filing a formal *"Citizen Petition"* to discuss the FDA's phase 4 data which is not on the fact sheet which shows death is common and other issues of illegalities of international law that prove a stay is appropriate to the public interest as well as the other legal elements.

From the FDA rules of a petition: *((1) If the petition requests the Commissioner to issue, amend, or revoke a regulation, the exact wording of the existing regulation (if any) and the proposed regulation or amendment requested.)*

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QNFES1RLf1M - Please direct me to this correct information within the FDA

Clearly, the FDA has not taken the totality of evidence into consideration, because FDA and CDC phase 4 data shows death is a common significant known effect which is still conspicuously omitted from the fact sheet in violation of International Law and State obligations. Clearly the approval is erroneous and based on an omission of some of the most important facts and law which should be considered but are not being considered.

Further execution of the manifestly illegal use of the mRNA investigational products in healthy people with no informed consent of the risk of ADE and death incurs State responsibility.

We invoke the States obligation to:

Article 3:Characterization of an act of a State as internationally wrongful

The characterization of an act of a State as internationally wrongful is governed by international law. Such characterization is not affected by the characterization of the same act as lawful by internal law.

Article 12: Existence of a breach of an international obligation

There is a breach of an international obligation by a State when an act of that State is not in conformity with what is required of it by that obligation, regardless of its origin or character.

Article 16: Aid or assistance in the commission of an internationally wrongful act

A State which aids or assists another State in the commission of an internationally wrongful act by the latter is internationally responsible for doing so if:

(a) (b)
act by (a) (b)
(a) (b)

that State does so with knowledge of the circumstances of the internationally wrongful act; and the act would be internationally wrongful if committed by that State.

initiate a proceeding to issue, amend, or revoke a regulation or order or take or refrain from taking any other form of administrative action

Article 26: Compliance with peremptory norms

Nothing in this chapter precludes the wrongfulness of any act of a State which is not in conformity with an obligation arising under a peremptory norm of general international law.

Article 32: Irrelevance of internal law

The responsible State may not rely on the provisions of its internal law as justification for failure to comply with its obligations under this part.

SERIOUS BREACHES OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER PEREMPTORY NORMS OF GENERAL INTERNATIONAL LAW

Article 40: Application of this chapter

1. This chapter applies to the international responsibility which is entailed by a serious breach by a State of an obligation arising under a peremptory norm of general international law.

2. A breach of such an obligation is serious if it involves a gross or systematic failure by the responsible State to fulfil the obligation.

Article 41: Particular consequences of a serious breach of an obligation under this chapter

1. States shall cooperate to bring to an end through lawful means any serious breach within the meaning of article 40.
2. No State shall recognize as lawful a situation created by a serious breach within the meaning of article 40, nor render aid or assistance in maintaining that situation.

3. This article is without prejudice to the other consequences referred to in this part and to such further consequences that a breach to which this chapter applies may entail under international law.

CONTENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF A STATE

CHAPTER I GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Article 28: Legal consequences of an internationally wrongful act

The international responsibility of a State which is entailed by an internationally wrongful act in accordance with the provisions of part one involves legal consequences as set out in this part.

Article 29: Continued duty of performance

The legal consequences of an internationally wrongful act under this part do not affect the continued duty of the responsible State to perform the obligation breached.

Article 30: Cessation and non-repetition

The State responsible for the internationally wrongful act is under an obligation:

(a) to cease that act, if it is continuing;

(b) to offer appropriate assurances and guarantees of non-repetition, if circumstances so require.

Article 31: Reparation

1. The responsible State is under an obligation to make full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act.

2. Injury includes any damage, whether material or moral, caused by the internationally wrongful act of a State.

Article 32: Irrelevance of internal law

The responsible State may not rely on the provisions of its internal law as justification for failure to comply with its obligations under this part.

Article 33: Scope of international obligations set out in this part

1. The obligations of the responsible State set out in this part may be owed to another State, to several States, or to the international community as a whole, depending in particular on the character and content of the international obligation and on the circumstances of the breach.

2. This part is without prejudice to any right, arising from the international responsibility of a State, which may accrue directly to any person or entity other than a State.

CHAPTER II REPARATION FOR INJURY

Article 34: Forms of reparation

Full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act shall take the form of restitution, compensation and satisfaction, either singly or in combination, in accordance withthe provisions of this chapter.

Respectfully and friendly,

Dustin Bryce

Interest of Justice
contact@interestofjustice.org
323 244 2960